On Quadratic Convergence

of Successive Iterative Method

in Nonlinear Two-point Boundary Value Problem

Miki

Kudo

Takashi

shi Yoshimura

1.

Let us examine the linear equation

$$u'' = 0$$
 , $u(0) = a_1$ $u(b) = a_2$ (1.1)

a two-point boundary value problem.

Let u_1 and u_2 be the two principal solutions of the homogeneous equation above, defined by the initial conditions

$$u_1(0) = 1$$
 $u_2(0) = 0$ $u_1(0) = 0$ $u_2(0) = 1$. (1.2)

Then, by virtue of the linearity of (1.1), we have

$$u(t) = a_1u_1(t) + \frac{a_2 - a_1u_1(b)}{u_2(b)}u_2(t)$$
.

By the initial conditions (1.2), we have

$$u_1 = 1$$
 $u_2 = t$. (1.3)

Hence, we can see that

$$u = a_1 + \frac{a_2 - a_1}{h} t$$
.

We now turn our attention to the inhomogeneous equation

$$u'' + r(t) = 0$$

with the two-point boundary conditions

$$u(0) = a_1$$
 $u(b) = a_2$.

Taking advantage of linearity, we write

$$u = v + w$$

where w and v are chosen, respectively, to satisfy the equations

$$w'' + r(t) = 0, w(0) = 0 w'(0) = 0$$

 $v'' = 0, v(0) = a_1 v(b) = a_2 - w(b).$ (1.4)

and

Let u1 and u2 be particular solutions of the homogeneous equation (1.1), and write

$$w = s_1 u_1 + s_2 u_2$$

where s₁ and s₂ are functions of t to be determined at our convenience.

Then

 $W' = s_1 u'_1 + s_2 u'_2 + s'_1 u_1 + s'_2 u_2.$

To simplify, set

$$s_1'u_1 + s_2'u_2 = 0.$$
 (1.5)

Since $w' = s_1 u'_1 + s_2 u'_2$, with this condition on s'_1 and s'_2 , we have

$$W'' = S_1 u''_1 + S_2 u''_2 + S'_1 u'_1 + S'_2 u'_2$$

Since u₁ and u₂ are the principal solutions of the homogeneous equation (1.1),

$$u''_{1} = 0$$
 and $u''_{2} = 0$.

Hence, combining with (1.4),

$$s'_1u'_1 + s'_2u'_2 = -r(t).$$

Combining this with (1.5), we have two simultaneous linear algebraic equations for s_1 and s_2 .

A solution exists, provided that the determinant

$$W(t) = \begin{vmatrix} u_1 & u_2 \\ u_1 & u_2 \end{vmatrix}$$

is nonzero. From (1.3) we have

$$W(t) = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & t \\ 0 & 1 \end{vmatrix} = 1$$

and

$$s_{1} = \frac{\begin{vmatrix} 0 & u_{2} \\ -r(t) & u_{2} \end{vmatrix}}{W(t)} = \begin{vmatrix} 0 & t \\ -r(t) & 1 \end{vmatrix} = tr(t)$$

$$s_{2} = \frac{\begin{vmatrix} u_{1} & 0 \\ u_{1}' - r(t) \end{vmatrix}}{W(t)} = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 - r(t) \end{vmatrix} = -r(t).$$

Hence,

$$s_1 = \int_0^t t_1 r(t_1) dt_1$$

$$s_2 = -\int_0^t r(t_1)dt_1$$

choosing $s_1(0) = s_2(0) = 0$, since we want to satisfy the conditions w(0) = w'(0) = 0. Thus,

$$w = \int_0^t r(t_1)(t_1 - t) dt_1 = \int_0^t G(t, t_1) r(t_1) dt_1$$

where
$$G(t, t_1) = t_1 - t$$
. (1.6)

Now we shall solve the equation

$$u'' + r(t) = 0,$$
 $u(0) = u(b) = 0.$ (1.7)

The general solution of (1.7) has the form

$$u = c_2 u_2(t) + \int_0^t G(t, t_1) r(t_1) dt_1$$

where c_2 is a constant to be determined. Setting t=b, we see that

$$c_2 = -\int_0^b \frac{G(b, t_1)r(t_1)}{u_2(b)} dt_1.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{split} u &= \frac{-u_2(t)}{u_2(b)} \int_0^b G(b, t_1) r(t_1) dt_1 + \int_0^t G(t, t_1) r(t_1) dt_1 \\ &= \frac{-u_2(t)}{u_2(b)} \int_t^b G(b, t_1) r(t_1) dt_1 + \int_0^t [G(t, t_1) - \frac{u_2(t)}{u_2(b)} G(b, t_1)] r(t_1) dt_1. \end{split}$$

Thus,

$$u(t) = \int_0^b K(t, t_1, b) r(t_1) dt_1$$

where

$$K(t, t_1, b) = \begin{cases} G(t, t_1) - \frac{u_2(t)}{u_2(b)} G(b, t_1) & o \leq t_1 \leq t \\ -\frac{u_2(t)}{u_2(b)} G(b, t_1) & t \leq t_1 \leq b. \end{cases}$$

By (1.6)

$$K(t, t_1, b) = \begin{cases} t(b-t_1)/b & t \leq t_1 \leq b \\ t_1(b-t)/b & o \leq t_1 \leq t. \end{cases}$$

2.

Now we apply quasilinearization to the two-point boundary value problem,

$$u'' + f(u', u, x) = 0$$

 $u(0) = u(b) = 0$

Let $\upsilon_o(x)$ be some initial approximation and consider the sequence $\{\upsilon_n\}$ determined by the recurrence relation

$$\begin{aligned} &u_{n+1} l' + f_{u} l'(u_{n} l', u_{n}, x)(u_{n+1} l' - u_{n} l') + f_{u}(u_{n} l', u_{n}, x)(u_{n+1} - u_{n}) + f(u_{n} l', u_{n}, x) = 0 \\ &u_{n+1}(0) = u_{n+1}(b) = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

We obtain the linear integral equation

$$u_{n+1} = \int_0^b K(x, y) \left[f(u_n l, u_n, y) + f_u(u_n l, u_n, y)(u_{n+1} - u_n) + f_{u l}(u_n l, u_n, y)(u_{n+1} l - u_n l) \right] dy$$
(2.2)

where K(x, y) is the Green's function

$$K(x, y) = \begin{cases} (b-x)y/b & 0 \le y \le x \\ (b-y)x/b & x \le y \le b. \end{cases}$$

We can easily see that

$$\max_{x, y} K(x, y) = \frac{b}{4}$$

where the maximization is over the region $0 \le x$, $y \le b$.

Let

$$\max_{|u| \le 1} \max_{x \in \mathcal{U}} (|f(u', u, x)|, |f_u(u', u, x)|, |f_{u'}(u', u, x)|) = m,$$

assuming that $m < \infty$, and choose $u_0(x)$ so that

$$|u_0(x)| \leq 1$$
, for $0 \leq x \leq b$.

Turning to (2.2), we have

$$|u_{n+1}| \leq \int_0^b |K(x, y)| \, |f(u_{n}\text{'}, u_{n}, y)| + |f_u(u_{n}\text{'}, u_{n}, y)| |u_{n+1}| + |f_u(u_{n}\text{'}, u_{n}, y)| |u_{n}|$$

$$+ |f_{u}/(u_{n}', u_{n}, y)||u_{n+1}'| + |f_{u}/(u_{n}', u_{n}, y)||u_{n}'| dy.$$

Hence, writing $m_1 = \max_{0 \leq x \leq b} |u_1(x)|$ and assuming $|u_n\prime(x)| \leq c |u_n(x)|$ $(n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots)$

for some finite number c, we have, for n=0

$$m_1 \leq \frac{b}{4} \int_0^b (m + m m_1 + m + m c m_1 + m c) dy = \frac{b^2 m (2 + c)}{4} + \frac{b^2 (1 + c) m m_1}{4}$$

Provided, therefore, that $\frac{b^2(1+c)m}{4} < 1$, we obtain the bound

$$m_1 \!\! \leq \!\! \frac{ \frac{b^2 m (2+c)}{4} }{1 \! - \! \frac{b^2 (1+c) \, m}{4} } \! \cdot \!$$

The upper bound is itself less than 1 if $b^2 \leq \frac{4}{m(3+2c)}$, and we can establish the uniform boundedness of the sequence $\{u_n(x)\}$ for b sufficiently small.

We have thus demonstrated that the inductive definition of the sequence $\{u_n(x)\}$ is meaningful.

We shall show that this sequence {un} converges quadratically.

Returning to the recurrence relation of (2.1), let us subtract the n-th equation from the (n+1) st

$$\begin{split} (u_{n+1}-u_n) \prime \prime + f(u_n\prime,\ u_n,\ x) - f(u_{n-1}\prime,\ u_{n-1},\ x) \\ - (u_n-u_{n-1}) f_u(u_{n-1}\prime,\ u_{n-1},\ x) - (u_n\prime - u_{n-1}\prime) f_u\prime (u_{n-1}\prime,\ u_{n-1},\ x) \\ + (u_{n+1}-u_n) f_u(u_n\prime,\ u_n,\ x) + (u_{n+1}\prime - u_n\prime) f_u\prime (u_n\prime,\ u_n,\ x) = 0. \end{split}$$

Regarding this as a differential equation for $u_{n+1}-u_n$ and converting into an integral equation as before, we have

$$\begin{split} u_{n+1} - u_n &= \int_0^b K(x, y) [f(u_n \prime, u_n, y) - f(u_{n-1} \prime, u_{n-1}, y) \\ &- (u_n - u_{n-1}) f_u(u_{n-1} \prime, u_{n-1}, y) - (u_n \prime - u_{n-1} \prime) f_u \prime (u_{n-1} \prime, u_{n-1}, y) \\ &+ (u_{n+1} - u_n) f_u(u_n \prime, u_n, y) + (u_{n+1} \prime - u_n \prime) f_u \prime (u_n \prime, u_n, y)] \ dy. \end{split}$$

The mean-value theorem tells us that

$$\begin{split} f(u_{n}\text{/,}\ u_{n},\ x) - f(u_{n-1}\text{/,}\ u_{n-1},\ x) \\ - (u_{n} - u_{n-1}) \, f_{u}(u_{n-1}\text{/,}\ u_{n-1},\ x) - (u_{n}\text{/-}u_{n-1}\text{/}) \, f_{u}\text{/}(u_{n-1}\text{/,}\ u_{n-1},\ x) \\ = & \frac{1}{2} \big\{ \ (u_{n} - u_{n-1})^{2} \, \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial u^{2}} \, f(\Theta_{1},\ \Theta_{2},\ x) + 2(u_{n} - u_{n-1}) (u_{n}\text{/-}u_{n-1}\text{/}) \, \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial u \partial u}\text{/} \, f(\Theta_{1},\ \Theta_{2},\ x) \\ & + (u_{n}\text{/-}u_{n-1}\text{/})^{2} \, \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial u^{2}} \, f(\Theta_{1},\ \Theta_{2},\ x) \big\} \end{split}$$

where Θ_1 lies between u_{n-1} and u_n , and Θ_2 lies between u_{n-1} and u_n . Hence, letting

$$k = \max_{\substack{|\mathbf{u}| \leq 1}} \max_{\mathbf{u}} [(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \mathbf{u}^2} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}', \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{x})], |\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \mathbf{u} \partial \mathbf{u}'} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}', \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{x})|, |\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \mathbf{u}'^2} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}', \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{x})|),$$

we have, very much as before,

$$\begin{split} |u_{n+1}-u_n| & \leq \frac{b}{4} \int_0^b [\frac{k}{2} \{(u_n-u_{n-1})^2 + 2c(u_n-u_{n-1})^2 \\ & + c^2(u_n-u_{n-1})^2\} + m|u_{n+1}-u_n| + mc|u_{n+1}-u_n|] \ dy. \end{split}$$

Hence,

$$\max_{x} |u_{n+1} - u_n| \leq \frac{\frac{-b^2k(1+c)^2}{8}}{1 - \frac{b^2m(1+c)}{4}} (\max_{x} |u_n - u_{n-1}|)^2.$$

This shows that there is quadratic convergence if there is convergence at all. This convergence depends upon the quantity

$$\frac{\frac{b^2k(1+c)^2}{8}}{1-\frac{b^2m(1+c)}{4}}(\max_{x}|u_1-u_0|)$$

which by the standard procedures can be shown to be less than one for b sufficiently small.

And it is also seen that even if the interval [0, b] appears to be too large initially, it is sufficient for convergence that $\max |u_1(x)-u_0(x)|$ is sufficiently small.

References

- (1) R. E. Bellman and R. E. Kalaba; Quasilinearization and Nonlinear Boundary-Value Problems, Elsevier, 1965.
- (2) R. E. Bellman, and R. E. Kalaba; Dynamic Programming, Invariant Imbedding and Quasi-linearization: Comparisons and Interconnections, in "Computing Methods in Optimization Problems" (Balakrishnan and Neustadt, eds.) pp. 135-145, Academic Press, N. Y. (1964).
- (3) R. E. Kalaba; On Nonlinear Differential Equations, The Maximum Operation, and Monotone Convergence. J. Math. Mech. 8 (1959). pp 519-574.