

Sasakian Structures for the Generalized Brieskorn Manifolds

Fumio NARITA
(Received on 30 April, 1980)

1. Introduction.

Recently, T. Takahashi [4] proved that every Brieskorn manifold admits many Sasakian structures. In this paper, we prove that every generalized Brieskorn manifold admits many Sasakian structures.

The author wish to thank Professor Y. Hatakeyama and T. Takahashi for their kind advice and constant encouragement.

2. Sasakian manifolds.

(M, ϕ, ξ, η, g) is called a Sasakian manifold when the following relations hold for the structure tensor fields, η is a 1-form, ξ is a vector field, ϕ is a $(1, 1)$ -tensor field and g is a Riemannian metric on M such that

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} \eta(\xi) &= 1 \\ \phi^2(X) &= -X + \eta(X)\xi \\ d\eta(X, Y) &= g(\phi(X), Y) \quad g(\xi, \xi) = 1 \\ N(X, Y) &= [X, Y] + \phi([\phi X, Y]) + \phi([X, \phi Y]) - [\phi X, \phi Y] - (X\eta(Y) - Y\eta(X))\xi = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for any vector fields X and Y on M .

Theorem A. [4] Let (M, ϕ, ξ, η, g) be a Sasakian manifold and μ be a vector field on M which satisfies the next three conditions

$$(2) \quad L_\mu(g) = 0, \quad [\mu, \xi] = 0, \quad 1 + \eta(\mu) > 0$$

where L_μ is the Lie differentiation with respect to μ .

New structure tensor fields denoted by $(\tilde{\phi}, \tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\eta}, \tilde{g})$ are defined by the next equations

$$\tilde{\phi}(X) = \phi(X - \tilde{\eta}(X)\tilde{\xi}), \quad \tilde{\eta} = (1 + \eta(\mu))^{-1} \cdot \eta, \quad \tilde{\xi} = \xi + \mu$$

and

$$\tilde{g}(X, Y) = (1 + \eta(\mu))^{-1} \cdot g(X - \tilde{\eta}(X)\tilde{\xi}, Y - \tilde{\eta}(Y)\tilde{\xi}) + \tilde{\eta}(X) \cdot \tilde{\eta}(Y)$$

where X and Y are vector fields on M .

Then $(M, \tilde{\phi}, \tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\eta}, \tilde{g})$ is also a Sasakian manifold.

$(M, \tilde{\phi}, \tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\eta}, \tilde{g})$ is called Sasakian manifold deformed with respect to μ . Let $(S^{2(n+m)-1}, \phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be the unit sphere with the standard Sasakian structure and be imbedded in the Euclidean space $E^{2(n+m)}$ with coordinates $(x_1, y_1, \dots, x_{n+m}, y_{n+m})$. At the point P on $S^{2(n+m)-1}$ we put

$$\xi_P = \sum_{j=1}^{n+m} (x_j \partial y_j - y_j \partial x_j) \quad \text{and} \quad \eta_P = \sum_{j=1}^{n+m} (x_j dy_j - y_j dx_j)$$

where ∂x_j and ∂y_j are vector fields on $E^{2(n+m)}$ usually denoted by $\partial/\partial x_j$ and $\partial/\partial y_j$ respectively and $(x_1, y_1, \dots, x_{n+m}, y_{n+m})$ is the coordinates of the point P . Let D be the distribution defined by $\eta = 0$. When we introduce a complex structure J on $E^{2(n+m)}$ as $z_j = x_j + iy_j$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, n+m$, then ϕ is defined as the restriction of J on D which is the orthogonal complement of $R \cdot \xi$ in the tangent space at each point on

$S^{2(n+m)-1}$ and 0 in $R \cdot \xi$. When we put

$$\mu = \sum_{j=1}^{n+m} r_j (x_j \partial y_j - y_j \partial x_j)$$

where $(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_{n+m})$ is a $(n+m)$ -tuple of real numbers such that

$$1 + \sum_{j=1}^{n+m} r_j ((x_j)^2 + (y_j)^2) > 0$$

on $S^{2(n+m)-1}$, then μ satisfies condition (2)

3. Generalized Brieskorn manifolds.

Let C^{n+m} be the complex vector space of $(n+m)$ -tuples of complex numbers $z = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n+m})$ and a_{kj} be positive integers and α_{kj} be real numbers, $k = 1, 2, \dots, m, j = 1, 2, \dots, n+m$. Let

$$(3) f_k(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n+m}) = \sum_{j=1}^{n+m} \alpha_{kj} z_j^{a_{kj}}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, m.$$

be a collection of complex polynomials. Let $V_k = f_k^{-1}(0)$ and let

$$V = \bigcap_{k=1}^m V_k. \quad \text{Let } d_k = \text{L.C.M.}(\alpha_{k1}, \alpha_{k2}, \dots, \alpha_{k,n+m}), \quad q_{kj} = d_k / \alpha_{kj}.$$

We suppose

- a) V is a complete intersection of the V_k .
- b) V has an isolated singularity at the origin.
- c) q_{kj} is independent of k (let $q_j = q_{kj}$).

Let $B^{2n-1} = V \cap S^{2(n+m)-1} \subset C^{n+m}$. B^{2n-1} is called a generalized Brieskorn manifold [2]. B^{2n-1} is a $(2n-1)$ -dimensional submanifold in $S^{2(n+m)-1}$. Denoting by $x_1, y_1, \dots, x_{n+m}, y_{n+m}$, the real coordinates of C^{n+m} such that $z_j = x_j + iy_j$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, n+m$), we define a real vector field $\tilde{\xi}$ on C^{n+m} by

$$\tilde{\xi} = \sum_{j=1}^{n+m} A_j (x_j \partial y_j - y_j \partial x_j)$$

where $A_j = A q_j$ for a positive constant A , ($j = 1, 2, \dots, n+m$). This vector field is tangent to $S^{2(n+m)-1}$. Let $\mu = \tilde{\xi} - \xi$, μ satisfies the conditions of the theorem A because of $1 + \eta(\mu) = \sum_{j=1}^{n+m} A_j ((x_j)^2 + (y_j)^2) > 0$.

We apply the theorem A to $(S^{2(n+m)-1}, \phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ with respect to μ and denote by $(S^{2(n+m)-1}, \tilde{\phi}, \tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\eta}, \tilde{g})$ the deformed Sasakian sphere with respect to μ . The explicit formulas of $\tilde{\eta}$ and $\tilde{\xi}$ are given by

$$\tilde{\eta} = \sum_{j=1}^{n+m} (K)^{-1} (x_j dy_j - y_j dx_j)$$

and

$$\tilde{\xi} = \sum_{j=1}^{n+m} A_j (x_j \partial y_j - y_j \partial x_j)$$

where $K(x_1, y_1, \dots, x_{n+m}, y_{n+m}) = \sum_{j=1}^{n+m} A_j ((x_j)^2 + (y_j)^2)$.

We will show that the vector field $\tilde{\xi}$ is tangent to B^{2n-1} . Let

$$R_k = \text{the real part of } f_k(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n+m})$$

and

$$I_k = \text{the imaginary part of } f_k(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n+m}),$$

then we see that $\tilde{\xi} R_k = -\text{Ad}_k I_k$ and $\tilde{\xi} I_k = \text{Ad}_k R_k$, and therefore,

$$\tilde{\xi} f_k = \tilde{\xi} R_k + i \tilde{\xi} I_k = \text{Ad}_k (-I_k + i R_k) = 0, \quad \text{for } k = 1, 2, \dots, m.$$

These prove that the vector field $\tilde{\xi}_{B^{2n-1}}$ is tangent to B^{2n-1} . Let $\iota: B^{2n-1} \rightarrow S^{2(n+m)-1}$ be inclusion mapping. We define four tensor fields $(\hat{\phi}, \hat{\xi}, \hat{\eta}, \hat{g})$ on B^{2n-1} by the next equations

$$(4) \hat{\phi} = \tilde{\phi}_{|B^{2n-1}}, \quad \hat{\xi} = \tilde{\xi}_{|B^{2n-1}}, \quad \hat{\eta} = \iota^* \tilde{\eta} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{g} = \iota^* \tilde{g}.$$

We will prove that the restriction $\tilde{\phi}_{|B^{2n-1}}$ is well defined. For any tangent vector X of B^{2n-1} which belongs

to D on $S^{2(n+m)-1}$ we get

$$(5) \quad \bar{\phi}(X)(f_k) = (J(X))(f_k) = i \cdot X(f_k) = 0, \quad \text{for } k = 1, 2, \dots, m.$$

Since $T_P B^{2n-1} = (D_P + R \cdot \bar{\xi}_P) \cap T_P B^{2n-1} = (D_P \cap T_P B^{2n-1}) + R \cdot \hat{\xi}_P$ is an orthogonal decomposition with respect to \hat{g}_P where $T_P B^{2n-1}$ is the tangent space at point P of B^{2n-1} and since $\bar{\phi}(\bar{\xi}) = \hat{\phi}(\hat{\xi}) = 0$, we find from (5) that $\hat{\phi}$ is a mapping from the tangent space $T_P B^{2n-1}$ to itself. Hence we find that $(\hat{\phi}, \hat{\xi}, \hat{\eta}, \hat{g})$ define a contact metric structure on B^{2n-1} . From B^{2n-1} is an invariant submanifold of $(S^{2(n+m)-1}, \bar{\phi}, \bar{\xi}, \bar{\eta}, \bar{g})$, by the theorem of M. Okumura [1], we have

Theorem. Every generalized Brieskorn manifold admits many Sasakian structures.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. OKUMURA, On contact metric immersion, Kodai Math. sem. Rep. 20 (1968), 389–409.
- [2] RICHARD C. RANDELL, Generalized Brieskorn manifolds, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 80 (1974), 111–115.
- [3] S. SASAKI AND C.J. HSU, On a property of Brieskorn manifolds, Tohoku Math. J., 28 (1976), 67–78.
- [4] T. TAKAHASHI, Deformations of Sasakian structures and its application to the Brieskorn manifolds, Tohoku Math. J., 30 (1978), 37–43.