

ON A COMPLEX HYPERSURFACE OF A K-SPACE

Fumio NARITA

(Received on 31 October, 1975)

1. Introduction

B. Smyth proved in his thesis [1] the following

[Theorem] Let M be a complex hypersurface of a Kählerian manifold \tilde{M} of constant holomorphic sectional curvature. If M is Einstein manifold it is locally symmetric.

In this paper, we shall prove that an Einstein complex hypersurface of a irreducible symmetric K-space is locally symmetric.

2. *O-spaces and K-spaces

Let (\tilde{M}, J, g) be an almost Hermitian manifold of complex dimension $n+1$, and denote the almost complex structure and the Hermitian metric of \tilde{M} by J and g respectively. By $\tilde{\nabla}$ we always mean the Riemannian covariant differentiation on \tilde{M} . An almost Hermitian manifold \tilde{M} is called an *O-space (or quasi-Kählerian manifold) or K-space (or Tachibana space or nearly Kähler manifold) according as

$$(2.1) \quad (\tilde{\nabla}_X J)Y + (\tilde{\nabla}_{JX} J)JY = 0$$

or

$$(2.2) \quad (\tilde{\nabla}_X J)Y + (\tilde{\nabla}_Y J)X = 0 \quad (\text{or equivalently } (\tilde{\nabla}_X J)X = 0)$$

holds for any vector fields X and Y on \tilde{M} . It is well known that a K-space is an *O-space.

3. Complex hypersurface of a K-space

Let (\tilde{M}, J, g) be an almost Hermitian manifold of complex dimension $n+1$.

Let M be a complex hypersurface of \tilde{M} , i.e., suppose that there exist a complex analytic mapping $f: M \rightarrow \tilde{M}$. Then for each $x \in M$ we identify the tangent space $T_x(M)$ with $f_*(T_x(M)) \subset T_{f(x)}(\tilde{M})$ by means of f_* . Since $f^*og = g'$ and $J \circ f_* = f_* \circ J'$ where g' and J' are the Hermitian metric and the almost complex structure of M respectively, g' and J' are respectively identified with the restrictions of the structures g and J to the subspace $f_*(T_x(M))$. As is well known, we can choose the following special neighborhood $U(x)$ of x for a neighborhood $\tilde{U}(f(x))$ of $f(x)$. Let $\{\tilde{U}; \tilde{x}^i\}$ ($i=1, \dots, 2n+2$) be a system of coordinate neighborhoods of \tilde{M} . Then

Proof. Consider the distributions T_+ and T_- on $U(x)$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} T_+(y) &= \{X \in T_y(M) \mid AX = \lambda X\} \\ T_-(y) &= \{X \in T_y(M) \mid AX = -\lambda X\} \end{aligned} \quad \text{for each } y \in U(x).$$

Since $A^2 = \lambda^2 I$ and λ is a constant (see (3.6)),

$$0 = (\nabla_X(AA))Y = A(\nabla_X A)Y + (\nabla_X A)AY$$

for $X, Y \in T_y(M)$ and $y \in U(x)$. If $Y \in T_+(y)$ then

$$A(\nabla_X A)Y + \lambda(\nabla_X A)Y = 0$$

Hence $(\nabla_X A)Y \in T_-(y)$ if $Y \in T_+(y)$, and similarly $(\nabla_X A)Y \in T_+(y)$ if $Y \in T_-(y)$

By (3.4)

$$(\nabla_X A)Y = s(X)JAY \quad \text{where } X \in T_-(y), Y \in T_+(y)$$

and

$$(\nabla_X A)Y = s(X)JAY \quad \text{where } X \in T_+(y), Y \in T_-(y).$$

If $X \in T_-(y)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_X A)X &= -(\nabla_X A)JX = J(\nabla_X A)JX + (\nabla_X J)AJX + A(\nabla_X J)JX \\ &= J(\nabla_X A)JX + \lambda(\nabla_X J)JX + A(\nabla_X J)JX \end{aligned}$$

From (2.1) and (2.2) we have $(\nabla_X J)JX = 0$

Hence $(\nabla_X A)X = J(\nabla_X A)JX = s(X)JAX$.

Thus if $X \in T_-(y)$ (resp. $T_+(y)$) and $Y \in T_-(y)$ (resp. $T_+(y)$) we find

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_{X+Y} A)(X+Y) &= s(X+Y)JA(X+Y) \\ &= s(X)JAX + s(X)JAY + s(Y)JAX + s(Y)JAY \\ (\nabla_{X+Y} A)(X+Y) &= (\nabla_X A)X + (\nabla_X A)Y + (\nabla_Y A)X + (\nabla_Y A)Y \\ &= s(X)JAX + (\nabla_X A)Y + (\nabla_Y A)X + s(Y)JAY \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$(\nabla_X A)Y + (\nabla_Y A)X = s(X)JAY + s(Y)JAX.$$

On the other hand, by (3.4)

$$(\nabla_X A)Y - (\nabla_Y A)X = s(X)JAY - s(Y)JAX.$$

Hence $(\nabla_X A)Y = s(X)JAY$.

We prove the other formula.

If $X \in T_-(y)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_X JA)X &= -(\nabla_X A)JX - A(\nabla_X JX) + AJ(\nabla_X X) \\ &= -s(X)JAIX - A((\nabla_X J)X) = -s(X)AX \end{aligned}$$

If $X \in T_-(y)$ (resp. $T_+(y)$) and $Y \in T_-(y)$ (resp. $T_+(y)$) we find

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_{X+Y} JA)(X+Y) &= -s(X+Y)A(X+Y) \\ &= -s(X)AX - s(X)AY - s(Y)AX - s(Y)AY \\ &= (\nabla_X JA)X + (\nabla_X JA)Y + (\nabla_Y JA)X + (\nabla_Y JA)Y \\ &= -s(X)AX - s(Y)AY + (\nabla_X JA)Y + (\nabla_Y JA)X. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by (3.5), we have $(\nabla_X JA)Y = -s(X)AY$

If $X \in T_-(y)$ (resp. $T_+(y)$) and $Y \in T_+(y)$ (resp. $T_-(y)$) we find

$$(\nabla_X JA)JY = (\nabla_X J)AJY + s(X)JAJY = (\nabla_X J)AJY - s(X)AJY$$

From $JY \in T_-(y)$ (resp. $T_+(y)$)

$$(\nabla_X JA)JY = -s(X)AJY$$

Hence we get $(\nabla_X J)AJY = 0$. This is $0 = -\lambda(\nabla_X J)JY$ (resp. $\lambda(\nabla_X J)JY$).

Therefore we have $(\nabla_X J)AY = \lambda(\nabla_X J)Y$ (resp. $-\lambda(\nabla_X J)Y) = 0$.

Hence we see that

$$(\nabla_X JA)Y = (\nabla_X J)AY + J((\nabla_X A)Y) = Js(X)JAY = -s(X)AY.$$

We shall prove the following

[Theorem 2] Let M be a complex hypersurface of a irreducible symmetric K -space \tilde{M} . If M is an Einstein then M is locally symmetric.

Proof. It suffices to show that $\nabla R = 0$ on $U(x)$, where R is the curvature tensor of M . By virtue of Lemma 3.3,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{R}(X, Y, Z, W) &= R(X, Y, Z, W) - \{g(AX, Z)g(AY, W) - g(AX, W)g(AY, Z)\} \\ &\quad - \{g(JAX, Z)g(JAY, W) - g(JAX, W)g(JAY, Z)\} \\ &= R(X, Y, Z, W) + D(X, Y, Z, W) \text{ say,} \end{aligned}$$

where $X, Y, Z, W \in T_y(M)$ and $y \in U(x)$. Considering the tensor field \tilde{R} restricted to M we may write

$$\nabla_V \tilde{R} = \nabla_V R + \nabla_V D$$

where $V \in T_y(M)$. Since \tilde{M} is locally symmetric, we have $\nabla_V \tilde{R} = 0$. We know that an irreducible symmetric space is an Einstein space. Hence, by Lemma 3.5 and Theorem 1, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_V D)(X, Y, Z, W) &= s(V) \{-g(JAX, Z)g(AY, W) - g(AX, Z)g(JAY, W) \\ &\quad + g(JAX, W)g(AY, Z) + g(AX, W)g(JAY, Z) \\ &\quad + g(AX, Z)g(JAY, W) + g(JAX, Z)g(AY, W) \\ &\quad - g(AX, W)g(JAY, Z) - g(JAX, W)g(AY, Z)\} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\nabla R = 0$ on $U(x)$ or, in other words, M is locally symmetric.

Bibliography

- [1] B. Smyth, Differential geometry of complex hypersurfaces, Ann. of Math. 85 (1967) 246—266.
- [2] S. Sawaki & K. Sekigawa, Almost Hermitian manifolds with constant holomorphic sectional curvature, J. Differential Geometry. 9 (1974) 123—134.